IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

THURSDAY, THE 4TH OCTOBER 2007 / 12TH ASWINA 1929

Con.Case(C).No. 85 of 2007(S)

(NON-COMPLIANCE OF THE ORDER IN W.A. No. 826 OF 2004 DATED 23.04.2004)

PETITIONER/PETITIONER IN IA IN WA:

C.V. CHACKO,
S/O. ABRAHAM,
AGED 76 YEARS,
CHACKUPURAKKAL HOUSE,
PERUMPETTY VILLAGE,
MALLAPPALLY TALUK,
PATHANAMTHITTA DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.P. HARIDAS

RESPONDENTS/RESPONDENTS 1 & 2 IN IA IN WA:
---

1. ASHOK KUMAR SINGH
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
WORKING AS DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

2. LOHIDAS
(AGE AND FATHER'S NAME NOT KNOWN TO THE PETITIONER)
DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT OF SURVEY & LAND RECORDS,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

BY SR. GOVERNMENT PLEADER SMT. R. MEERA.

THIS CONTEMPT OF COURT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 04/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

H.L. DATTU, C.J. & K.T. SANKARAN, J.

.....

CONTEMPT CASE (C) No. 85 OF 2007

.....

Dated this the 4th October, 2007

J U D G M E N T

H.L. Dattu, C.J.:

After going through the interim orders passed by the Division Bench of this court as well as the pleadings and the counter affidavit filed by the second respondent, we are of the firm opinion that the second respondent has not disobeyed, either wilfully or deliberately, the interim orders passed by this Court. Therefore, cognizance of this Contempt Case need not be taken by us and it is dropped.

2. Respondent No.1 is not a necessary party in this Contempt Case and therefore, he is deleted from the proceedings.

Ordered accordingly.

H.L. DATTU,
CHIEF JUSTICE.

K.T. SANKARAN,
JUDGE.

lk